Current Clinical and Medical Education

Received 19 Jun 2024 | Revised 22 Jun 2024 | Accepted 06 Jul 2024 | Published Online 4 Aug 2024 |



Published By: Vision Publisher CCME 02 (8), 123-139

Imaging Analysis Systems for Cell Classification, Fluorescence Microscopy Pictures, Medical Illness Diagnosis, and Environmental Monitoring Based on Cytometric Characteristics of Fluorescently Labelled Nuclei

Athmar Khaled Yasser Hussein Al-Atabi¹, Ghadeer Saddam Hussain², Tuqa Faris Jawad Altamimi³

¹Al-Qasim Green University, College of Environmental Sciences. Environment Department, Iraq

²Al-Hussain University College, Medical Instruments Techniques Engineering Department, Iraq

³Al-Mustaqbal University, Biomedical Engineering, Iraq ABSTRACT: Classification of cells and tissues for clinical diagnostics and pharmaceutical and medical research relies heavily on nuclear features in human pathology and cytology. People have been trying to automate cytology analyses for decades in the hopes that it would make the process more efficient and the outcomes less subjective. Nuclear characteristics from fluorescently labelled images are priceless for image-based, high-content screening in drug discovery, functional genomics, cytomics, and diagnostic cell categorisation, among other applications. By collecting feature sets for every object encountered and using cell-by-cell data for categorisation, these screening systems describe the stimulus-induced behaviour of cell monolayer populations. Many of these applications rely on fluorescent nuclei detection to identify specific cells. This is due to several factors, including the abundance of easily accessible bright DNA dyes, the spatial separability of nuclei, and the abundance of extractable features related to artefact rejection, cytotoxicity, and cell cycle information. The automation of clinical diagnostic cytopathology has only reached mediocre progress despite enormous efforts. Cell classification performance, measuring the sample's cellular composition and disease progression, must be at least as good as that of human specialists, but the cost of fully automated diagnostic cytopathology instruments must be less than or equal to that of human analysis, for the instruments to be considered successful. To mimic the work of cytopathologists and cytotechnologists as nearly as possible, an ideal diagnostic tool would first accurately classify cells one by one, then compile a database of spatial relationships between cells, and lastly diagnose the entire lesion as well as individual cells. It is necessary to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the cell nucleus in order to successfully classify millions of cells one by one and to identify cells in order to study their spatial relationships. In terms of diagnostic value, it is also crucial. This will serve as the focal point of our feature evaluation. Since fluorescence specificity produces the most precise picture segmentation and stoichiometry offers outstanding quantification, it is reasonable to presume that these features originated from pictures of fluorescently labelled cells.

Keywords: Cytometric Features, Cell Classification, Fluorescently Labeled Nuclei.

Corresponding Author: Athmar Khaled Yasser Hussein Al-Atabi⁺, Al-Qasim Green University, College of Environmental Sciences. Environment Department, Iraq

Copyright: © 2024 The Authors. Published by Vision Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Cytotechnologists and cytopathologists employ many criteria for specimen evaluation while manually analysing cytological material. The nucleus, cytoplasm, overall cell, intercellular interactions, and backdrop are the five standard parts of a specimen that are examined. Since the nucleus is the most crucial part of a cell for diagnostic purposes since the entire process is difficult, we shall focus on its characteristics here. Manual cell examination begins with examining the size, shape, staining properties, chromatin pattern, density, and distribution of nuclear features. The next thing to look at is the nuclear membrane's thickness and shape, as well as the number, size, and form of the nucleoli. We shall skip over nucleoli and nuclear membranes because they need special staining to be extracted using fluorometric methods. When studying cellular responses to chemical compounds, interference RNAs, or environmental conditions, researchers in the biomedical or pharmaceutical fields use nuclear, cytoplasmic, and cellular features that are similar to those used in manual diagnostic analysis of cytological samples. But how does a human observer tell one kind of cell from another based on its size, shape, chromatin structure, etc.? If a pathologist wants to know if a cell is cancerous, they search for telltale signs such an enlarged nucleus that might be any size or shape, chromatin that isn't evenly distributed between clear and coarse clumps, and abnormally high or low numbers of mitotic figures. Because of its inherent capacity for learning, the human brain outperforms more traditional forms of computing. For instance, a skilled pathologist can examine a specimen's micrograph and draw conclusions without being privy to details like the standard deviation of nuclear intensities or the mean average radius of the nucleus [1-3]. Instead of carefully examining every object in a cytological specimen or cell, the pathologist may focus on a few key traits that help with diagnosis or categorisation. However, there are instances when reproducibility becomes an issue, and decision-making is fundamentally subjective.

Cell Sorting via Automation

The diagnosis process could become more objective and repeatable with the automation of cytological analysis or image cytometry. Systems can now do extensive high-speed scans, or analysis of every cell on a slide at high dry resolution, because to the ever-increasing speed of computers and electrical instrumentation. The cytopathologist can get access to new data sets for quantitative microscopy with these devices, which can help them make more informed diagnosis. Automated high-speed cellular sample analysis has also found use in pharmaceutical drug discovery and biological research. In this case, automated cell analysis is used to categorise the phenotypic responses of the cell populations or subpopulations after applying huge libraries of chemical compounds or interference RNAs to multiwell plates, usually in 96, 384, or 1536 well format. Automated cell analysis of microscope images is essential for these image-based screens due to the enormous volume of cells that must be analysed for libraries with tens to hundreds of thousands of entries. One strategy for automated cell classification is to identify quantitative analogues of humanobserved properties. About a hundred distinct feature measures [4, 5] for automated cytology have been produced by this method. To what extent, if any, are these characteristics mathematically distinct from one another? Mathematically sound scene analysis measurements and methods from the machine vision community can be helpful in tackling this and related problems. Although a pathologist would miss these "vision" elements, they are likely to comprise the same information used by human experts and may be preferable for a computerised expert system if they are complete. Mathematically efficient and comprehensive characteristics should allow for the computation of conventional properties pertaining to the nucleus's size, shape, and texture, which are manual cues. Instead of using a feature set that is optimal for humans, it might be more appropriate to use one that is tailored to the quantitative nature, reproducibility, and enormous data processing capabilities of computers and machines. With the right quantitative process in place that compares various feature sets and algorithms using definitive cell-by-cell classification performance metrics, automated cell analysis has the potential to outperform humans in clinical diagnostics and rareevent detection, and it could even allow for the extraction of more data from image-based screens.

Modern Methods for Analysing Images

Despite the proliferation of automated image cytometry systems over the years, the majority of clinical systems still rely on the Papanicolaou (Pap) stain—a qualitative, nonstoichiometric dye that has seen heavy use in manual cytology

for the past forty years or more-for cytopathological analysis of clinical samples, research applications like rareevent detection, and image-based drug screening. When it comes to quantitative measurements like DNA content, the Pap stain isn't an option because it isn't a nonfluorescent quantitative dye and it doesn't produce fully quantitative feature sets. Nonfluorescent quantitative dyes, such as Feulgen-based stains, which link to acid nuclear components, have been utilised in some study setups. The specificity of the luminous molecular markers allows for image processing and feature extraction, which is why stoichiometric fluorescent dyes are most commonly used in research and image-based screening systems [6, 7]. Atomic Characteristics Nuclear Features: Their Significance in Fluorescence Microscopy Images Regardless of the classification technique chosen, the effectiveness of computerised cell classification is directly related to the underlying characteristics' quality, precision, and accuracy. Picking the right features and making sure they're accurate are both crucial. We have already established that nuclear feature sets for cell-by-cell classification are based on cell nuclei stained with a quantitative fluorescent DNA dye. This option permits the examination of the nucleus's size, shape, staining properties, chromatin arrangement, distribution, and density—the typical cytopathological parameters. The fact that fluorescent nuclear markers show up as 1 The purpose of this list is to provide readers with an overview of existing systems; it is not an exhaustive list. DNA alone, but histones and other intranuclear components may be visible in the "chromatin" look after standard clinical Pap staining. Since fluorescent methods may only stain one intracellular component at a time, one challenge will be to determine which dye combinations will yield the most accurate results for component classification. The stoichiometric fluorescent nuclear dye can also be used to detect DNA content, which allows for the automatic identification of cell cycle phases [7-9]. There should be an improvement in the ease of object labelling, especially for situations where nuclei overlap, objects are out of focus, or artefacts like fluorescent debris or incorrectly segmented nuclei are present. Other possible improvements include the measurement of the mitotic index or the growth fraction. Automated analysis of huge cell populations sometimes involves seeing images of DAPI-stained nuclei from various object labelling classes. These images are displayed in. With just one nuclear dye, it is possible to acquire a large and crucial collection of features that are pertinent to automated cytology.

Nuclear Features: Their Significance in Fluorescence Microscopy Images

Regardless of the classification technique chosen, the effectiveness of computerised cell classification is directly related to the underlying characteristics' quality, precision, and accuracy. Picking the right features and making sure they're accurate are both crucial. We have already established that nuclear feature sets for cell-by-cell classification are based on cell nuclei stained with a quantitative fluorescent DNA dye. This option permits the examination of the nucleus's size, shape, staining properties, chromatin arrangement, distribution, and density—the typical cytopathological parameters. While standard clinical Pap staining may include histones and other intranuclear components in the "chromatin" look, fluorescent nuclear labels solely stain DNA. Since fluorescent methods may only stain one intracellular component at a time, one challenge will be to determine which dye combinations will yield the most accurate results for component classification. The stoichiometric fluorescent nuclear dye can also be used to detect DNA content [10-12], which allows for the automatic identification of cell cycle phases. There should be an improvement in the ease of object labelling, especially for situations where nuclei overlap, objects are out of focus, or artefacts like fluorescent debris or incorrectly segmented nuclei are present. Other possible improvements include the measurement of the mitotic index or the growth fraction. Automated analysis of huge cell populations sometimes involves seeing images of DAPI-stained nuclei from various object labelling classes. These images are displayed in. So, with just one nuclear dye, you can get a whole bunch of useful characteristics for automated cytology.

Characteristics for Automated Picture Analysis

In computerised image cytometry, there are three main types of features. The first is morphometric, which describes the size and shape of the nucleus. The second is photometric or fluorometric, which describes the distribution of optical density or intensity. Lastly, texture features describe the pattern and distribution of chromatin. Discrete, runlength, Markovian or Haralick, and fractal textures are the subcategories of texture features. Low-, medium-, and highdensity chromatin areas' photometric characteristics and spatial distribution are described by discrete textures. As a measure of the distribution of gray-level intensity values between neighbouring pixels or the gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCOM), Markovian or Haralick texture parameters are used. A sum and difference histogram, which is less computationally costly, can substitute the GLCOM in some feature extraction algorithms. The length of adjacent sections with a constant intensity can be described by run-length textures. The 3D surface plot of the object's intensity is described by the increasingly prevalent fractal texture features; one feature generated from this is the surface area of the intensity. Spectral analysis, which examines the power spectrum in frequency space, reveals details like the directionality and coarseness of textures.



Figure 1. Montages of regions of interest containing various things found in images taken of cell populations on a well plate or on a slide. Cell nuclei labelled with DAPI were photographed using a 20×0.5 NA objective on a Beckman Coulter IC100 HTM system.

Aesthetic Characteristics

Traditional pattern recognition books detail the mathematical implementation of most morphometric aspects, which are quite self-explanatory. Although cellular orientation in an image does not provide diagnostic information, cytology requires features to be translationally and rotationally invariant. This section elaborates on some aspects of the vision literature that have not yet been consistently applied to cells. Assuming translation and rotation invariant versions for cell analysis, the imaging and vision field has proposed using more complex moments for picture analysis beyond first and second order central moments. One example of a complete feature set that can be used to regenerate an object's image is a set of moments, either alone or in combination with another feature. Zernike moments based on the Zernike polynomials, Legendre moments based on the Legendre polynomials, complex moments, and more complex extensions of the regular geometric or central moments are additional moments that exhibit advantageous mathematical properties. The 0th order moment delineates an object's area, the 1st order moment corresponds to its centre of mass, the 2nd order moment is the moment of inertia, and the 3rd and 4th order moments delineate projection skewness and kurtosis, respectively, describing the geometry. By combining the first and second order moment. The

characteristics of moments vary depending on their nature. When it comes to picture description, the majority of moments are RST-invariant or have variants that are. This means they can withstand changes in scale, translation, and rotation. The Zernike and Legendre moments are orthogonal, which means that they are not reliant on each other and do not include any duplicate information. This is in addition to the fact that they are RST-invariant. Zernike moments appear to possess the best qualities, as Legendre moments are quite susceptible to picture noise. Although they are not orthogonal [13, 14], complex moments have the lowest signal-to-noise ratios. Zernike moments might be the best choice for fluorescently dyed nuclei because noise is not a major concern. The eigenvalues of the covariance matrices are another form of shape description. in addition to Fourier descriptors. A whole collection of picture moments is also the Fourier series expansion. From any given beginning point, we can precisely describe an object's shape by expressing the curvature of its boundary as a function of the arc length. An enough number of Fourier terms will approximate the object's shape to the requisite accuracy if this intrinsic function is extended by a Fourier series. Shape information is contained in the covariance matrix of the points on the border rather than the curvature of the border. It is possible to infer the object's shape from the eigenvalues of this covariance matrix. Because these eigenvalues vary with size, further development of the method is required before it can yield shape descriptors for cell nuclei.

Nuclear characteristics of cells for use in fluorescence image categorisation

Many writers have suggested and explored automating the analytical process, either entirely or partially, to improve efficiency and reduce subjectivity of cytological results. Estimating the likelihood of a certain class based on specific characteristics of a microscope specimen allows for this automation to be accomplished. This article takes a look at feature sets that could feed into mathematical cytology classification techniques. Finding the most relevant attributes of these feature sets was the main objective. To clarify, are there mathematically efficient characteristics that describe the cell more or less thoroughly? When used in conjunction with quantitative fluorescent staining, what circumstances will lead to the most accurate cell classification? Also, how do you think these mathematical properties connect to the more common, intuitive ones that we humans use? The picture moments are an example of a mathematical feature, but the size, shape, and colour of the cell nucleus are examples of human observer features [16-19]. It should be feasible to extract the traditional features utilised by human observers from the mathematical feature set in order to provide them to physicians, provided that the cell picture can be fully reconstructed from the feature set. A mathematically complete feature set is also used to quickly assess the appropriateness of various mathematical decision-making techniques, such as probabilistic reasoning, clustering, or neural networks.

A lot of work has gone into automating the process of cytological specimen examination during the past few decades. Commercially, only moderate success has been attained despite that effort. Automated diagnostic cytopathology tools can't succeed unless their cell categorisation performance can match or exceed that of human specialists. For example, gynaecological smears, aren't there already screening systems available for purchase? "A fully automated system is a device that processes a clinical specimen and provides a final decision concerning the case... The decision to send the sample for human review is not a diagnostic decision by a fully automated primary screener since that decision is made by the human observer... a fully automated device could provide an assessment of the lesion itself of its cellular composition and state of progression [14-17]. That would be a fully automated diagnostic system. No such devices have been described or designed at this Such a system would more closely emulate the tasks of a cytopathologists or cytotechnologists. Current instruments only attempt to classify abnormal vs. normal in order to reduce the number of

slides and cells that must be analyzed manually. The more immediate evolution of these systems requires solving two problems: (1) high false positive and/or false negative rates (i.e., benign classified as malignant and vice versa), and (2) insufficient typing ofneoplasms. The best diagnostic instrument would start with correct cell-by-cell classification, build a database of cell-cell spatial associations, and finally provide diagnoses both of each individual cell and the entire lesion. The crux of the automated cytology diagnostic problem is exhaustive cell-by-cell classification. Since the cell nucleus is the most diagnostically important portion of the cell, it will be the focus for the review of features we present here. These features will be assumed to have been derived from images of fluorescent-stained cells because fluorescence specificity yields the most accurate image segmentation'2 and the stoichiometry provides excellent quantification. Feature extraction will soon be provided by ultra-high-speed scanning cytometry currently under development [18, 19]. These new instruments will be capable of scanning an entire slide at moderate resolution in 10 to 30 minutes.1 Feature sets likely to be useful for classification based on cell nuclei are first compared and discussed. Some of these features are demonstrated for comparison. The review and comparison are then used as a preliminary guide for determining the most likely candidates for cell-by-cell classification. Finally, classification algorithms likely to perform well for this application are reviewed briefly.

Commonalities in cytopathologist manual diagnostic

In a manual analysis of cytological material, cytotechnologists and cytopathologists use several criteria for specimen evaluation. Five different aspects of the specimen are evaluated: nucleus, cytoplasm, the cell as a whole, intercellular relations, and background.4 Because the nucleus is most diagnostically important and the complete task at hand is very challanging, we will only discuss primarily the features of the cell nucleus here. Nuclear features first considered in manual cell analysis are size, shape, staining characteristics, chromatin pattern, chromatin density, and chromatin distribution.4 Next, the size, shape and number of nucleoli, as well as the thickness and contour of the nuclear membrane are observed. Since nucleoli and nuclear membrane require additional staining for fluorometric feature extraction, they will be left to later work. But how exactly does the pathologist determine if size, shape, chromatin pattern etc. are normal or abnormal or distinguish one cell type from another? For example, to determine malignancy of a cell the pathologist looks for enlargement of the nucleus with variation in size and shape, irregular chromatin distribution with clearing and coarse clumping, and abundant or abnormal mitotic figures. The human brain has an advantage over conventional computers because of the ability to learn from experience. After training, a pathologist can look at a microscope image of a specimen and analyze it without specifically knowing, e.g., the mean average radius of the nucleus or the standard deviation of nuclear intensities. The human expert sometimes seems to just know that a particular specimen looks malignant and is at a certain cancer stage. He or she may pinpoint specific features of a cell or cytological specimen that lead to the diagnosis. But the decision making process is inherently subjective and it is controversial that quantitative methods discussed here may one day perform better than human experts at some of these tasks.

Cell categorisation using automation

Automation of tissue analysis has the potential to add objectivity and repeatability to the diagnostic process. Human subjectivity may be responsible, e.g., for the 5 - 15% error rates in cervical cancer screening. In theory, a quantitative

automated instrument should be immune to conditions such as diagnosis of a high grade invasive cancer reducing sensitivity in the following slide, inconsistent reading of slides exhibiting identical features, optical illusions, and fatigue. With the increasing speed of computers and electronic instrumentation, systems will be capable of exhaustive high speed scans, i.e. analysis of every cell on a slide at high dry resolution. It is more likely that these new instruments will provide the cytopathologist with entirely new sets of quantitative data and information upon which to base final diagnoses, rather than replacing human diagnosis altogether. As technology progresses, however, these machines should become increasingly capable of providing the final diagnosis. For automated cell classification, one approach is to take the features that the cytopathologist uses and find quantitative analogs for computer analysis. This is the approach used so far in automated cytology and has resulted in about 1 00 more or less different feature measurements. But are these features different mathematically; i.e., are they independent? To approach this and similar questions, it is useful to examine scene analysis measurements and techniques from the computer vision community that have been carefully considered mathematically. Such "vision" features may be better for a successful computerized expert system and if complete, are likely to incorporate the same information as used by human experts even though a pathologist might not recognize them. It should be possible to compute conventional features that relate to the manual cues of size, shape and texture of the nucleus from a mathematically efficient and complete set of features [20, 21]. The diagnostic performance of the two sets of features should be compared. This approach suggests that it may be better to utilize a feature set designed specifically for the quantitative nature, reproducibility, and massive data processing capabilities of machines and computers, rather than one best for humans. Human experts are known to rapidly learn to concentrate subconsciously on the few features that experience has shown to be most important, while ignoring immense amounts of mostly irrelevant data. Machine methods seek to emulate this capacity systematically and quantitatively. By carefully designing a quantitative process, finer nuances of changes between neoplastic stages might be detected and diagnostic error rates reduced. This is a challenging goal that can be practically achieved only when large numbers of slides can be analyzed quickly using definitive cell-by-cell classification performance measures to compare different feature sets and algorithms.

Current methods for analysing images

The clinical (FDA-approved) automated cytology image analysis systems are currently limited to screening of Papanicolaou (Pap) stained gynaecological smears. The Pap stain has been widely used in manual cytology for the past 40 years or more; however, there are nonfluorescent quantitative dyes that can be used for these measurements; some of the research systems have utilised quantitative dyes. Two systems that use Thionin-Feulgen dye to link to acid nuclear components are AcCell-Savant (under development by AccuMed International) and LEYTAS (Leyden Television Analysis System), both developed by the University of Leiden in the Netherlands and Leica in Germany. Neuromedical Systems Inc.'s PapNet system and NeoPath Inc.'s AutoPap system are two examples of automated image analysis systems that do not rely on fully quantitative feature sets; they both use the Pap stain. When it comes to managing images and extracting features, there are a plethora of software packages and semi-automatic interactive analysis systems to choose from. A stoichiometric fluorescent DNA dye called 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) binds to AT-rich regions in nucleus DNA and can be used to visualise nuclear features in cell pictures. The example given is DAPI, although there are numerous other nuclear fluorescent dyes that are just as quantitative. It is becoming more apparent that commercial systems based on nonquantitative aspects have limits, and

new approaches are desirable; however, the idea to forsake clinical stains is controversial. If novel staining procedures offer obvious benefits, they will be accepted, just like new monolayer preparation techniques and numerous Pap stain enhancements over the past 40 years.

Nuclear properties and their significance

The accuracy, precision, and quality of the underlying characteristics will determine the performance of computerised cell classification, regardless of the classification algorithm chosen. Picking the right features and making sure they're accurate are both crucial. For cell-by-cell classification, the feature sets are based on cell nuclei dyed with a quantitative DNA dye, as mentioned above. Size, shape, staining properties, chromatin arrangement, distribution, and density of the nucleus are all traditional cytopathological parameters that can be captured by this choice. to undergo analysis. Notably, DAPI stains solely DNA, whereas the "chromatin" look in Pap staining might encompass histones and other non-nuclear components. Finding the optimal dye combinations to stain the relevant intracellular components for categorisation is an important issue to be accomplished using fluorescent techniques, which are very selective in their staining abilities. Furthermore, it is possible to automatically identify the cell cycle phases by measuring DNA content. Artefact labelling, for example for overlapping nuclei, should be easier to perform, and measurements of the mitotic index or growth fraction (the ratio of cells in 5-, M-, and 62-phase to those in G0- and G1-phase) are possible. As a result, it is possible to acquire a large and significant set of clinically relevant characteristics with just one dye.

Characteristics for automated picture analysis

The first type, morphometric features, describes the size and shape of the nucleus. The second type, photometric or fluorometric features, describes the distribution of optical density or intensity. Lastly, texture features, describes the pattern and distribution of chromatin. Low-, medium-, and high-density chromatin areas' photometric characteristics and spatial distribution are described by discrete textures. Using the grey level co-occurrence matrix (GLCOM) or the distribution of grey level intensity values across neighbouring pixels, Markovian textures measure the texture. A sum and difference histogram, which is less computationally costly, can substitute the GLCOM in some feature extraction algorithms. The length of adjacent sections with a constant intensity can be described by run-length textures. Fractal texture features, which have recently gained popularity, describe the object's 3D surface plot of intensity; one feature derived from this is the surface area of intensity. Spectral analysis, on the other hand, analyses the power spectrum in frequency space to provide information about the coarseness and directionality of texture.

Spectra measured by photometry or fluorescence

Using the dye's stoichiometry and the standard statistical analysis methods outlined in numerous books, photometric or fluorometric features are derived. In most cases, statistics do not provide a full picture.

The characteristics of texture

You may find the mathematical explanations of the more common texture features in Doudkine et al., 32 and GLCOM features in Baraldi and Parmiggiani. Completeness is not typically a goal or outcome of texture feature creation, as these characteristics are typically created to quantify specific appearances. Filters such as the Gabor, spatial, or limited impulse response, as well as the Fourier transform, have been used to discriminate textures.^o The Fourier power

spectrum can be examined after the picture has undergone a 2D-Fourier transform. In order to quantify coarseness or directional qualities, one can utilise the variance of the Fourier power spectrum defined on a ring-shaped region, and vice versa for a wedge-shaped region.• Since it was already stated that the cytology feature set ought to be rotationally independent, directional features will not be employed. However, in certain cases, such as cell fluid shear tests, direction can play a significant role. When processing a cell image, the spatial and Gabor filter methods apply if to or varying shapes. In spatial filtering, a ring-shaped filter (spatial frequency filter) is used to express the coarseness, while an orientation channel filter, with two wedges, is used to describe the directionality. Coarseness along a certain angle (rotation dependence, unless an average value can be obtained) is described by the variance of the Gabor filtered image. Although the spatial and Gabor filter methods are computationally more demanding, they provide texture descriptors that are marginally more accurate.

Features of the nucleus for individual cell categorisation

Feature characterization and, in certain instances, classifier selection are influenced by a number of qualities, such as completeness and independence. When evaluating a feature set, completeness is a good indicator of how well it can compress data for effective storage. Finding the minimal feature set necessary to complete the classification task is the key to optimising efficiency. Repetitive data that doesn't improve efficiency due to its lack of classification benefit should not be considered independent. The ability to simplify specific statistical decision-making approaches is another benefit of feature independence. The product of the individual probabilities P(x1 A), P(x2 A),... P(x I A) can be used to estimate the probabilities of the different feature vectors P(xi, x2,..., x A), provided that the characteristics x1 are independent given a class A. Therefore, being self-sufficient has numerous significant benefits. A feature's distribution, often known as its probability density function, for a specific class is another crucial attribute. A number of classification methods make the assumption of a multivariate or urn-normal density distribution. To maintain classification accuracy when using these classifiers, it is crucial to select characteristics that either follow a normal distribution or can be changed to one. Cell orientation is both non-diagnostic and haphazard, as previously mentioned. Thus, it is expected that the features will not be affected by RST. There should be just one independent feature representing object size (area), despite its diagnostic importance. The characteristics ought to be light-independent. In every other scenario, normalising the intensity should be done via a calibration technique. Removing the impact of slide preparation (i.e., fixation and staining procedures) on feature values is equally crucial in this context.38 The features probably aren't prepared in a constant way, and processes for preparing slides might need some tweaking to keep fluctuations to a minimum [22]. Finally, computing complexity should be low enough for real-time use in cytological applications, where there may be 100,000 or more cells per slide. For instance, a lot of processing time could be needed for really complicated picture moments. To analyse hundreds of thousands of cells in a reasonable amount of time (say, under an hour), feature extraction for each cell object needs to be on the order of tens of psec. The inevitable increase in processing power, along with look-up tables and parallel computing, can often alleviate these worries.

The process of selecting features

How can one narrow down the available features to the most relevant subset for a given classification task, given the abundance of options? These mathematical properties are not likely to generate distinct classes in feature space, hence

using a complete and independent collection is not sufficient. The most easily separated set of features is also the one with the best classification error rate. By visualising the feature space and class clusters, we can deduce this characteristic. If the feature space of the class clusters is highly separable, then there is little overlap between them. There are a lot of subsets to explore while trying to identify the ideal combination of features; in fact, there are 2"-i subsets for every n features. As n grows larger, this procedure gets computationally intensive and tedious quickly. With n=3, you can only test out seven feature subsets. On the other hand, 1023 distinct feature subsets can be defined for a collection of 10 features. The sheer volume of features used for picture pattern recognition makes this method obsolete. Feature selection approaches, the simplest of which is the forward stepwise selection technique, have been created as a result of this complexity.6 Picking the one trait that misclassifies the training set the least is the first step. After that, we merge this initial feature with all the other features, run the classification process again, and calculate the error rates for every feature pair. The feature with the lowest paired error rate is selected as the second option. We continue by testing this set of features with all of the remaining features, and so on. The process is carried out until the error rate no longer exhibits a notable decline as more characteristics are added. From 2" to n(n-1)/2, the number of classification trials can be reduced using this strategy. The number of viable feature combinations is reduced from 1023 to 45 for 10 features. You should not assume that this approach will always yield the best results; it is merely shown as an example. Numerous additional methods of varied degrees of sophistication are also at one's disposal.

The Steps of Classification

In order to arrive at a conclusion or diagnosis, the features are processed by the classification algorithm. The procedure typically makes use of a statistical method that assigns distinct areas of feature space to each of the classifications. Nevertheless, numerous classifiers utilising diverse methodologies have been developed. For the sake of brevity and context, only a handful are covered. Readers interested in learning more might peruse the relevant material.3.06 m x 8.03 ft In pattern recognition, supervised and unsupervised learning methods are typically differentiated. We will just touch on the latter briefly because of how computationally complex they are.

Learning strategies that are supervised

In supervised learning methods, the goal is to minimise errors so that the classification performance is as close as possible to a predetermined standard, which is often calculated by hand. It is essential to have access to a big enough training set where every object has been accurately identified. An important source of automated misclassification could be efforts made in, say, training sets that have been classified by human experts. Two categories of supervised methods exist: non-parametric decision making and parametric or statistical methods.

Algorithmic decision-making

For each class, knowing the general shape of the feature probability density function makes a classification technique called parametric. In most cases, they will make educated guesses as to the true values of these parameters (i.e., variance and mean). Using Bayes netts, which is more often known as probabilistic reasoning, the most likely class is selected given particular feature values.75 centimetres In order to determine the likelihood of being a part of a class, we mix the a priori probabilities, which are known about the likelihood of an event before we start, with the state-conditional probabilities, which are known about variability under certain conditions, such an image feature.3 The use of several features, the ability to support more than two classes as outcomes, and the possibility of actions other than a

class decision (such as rejection or postponement of decision) make this type of classifier feasible for cell categorisation. Each sample can only belong to one class, and the classes can't be mutually exclusive; otherwise, the classifier won't work.

Making decisions without parametric data

Features having unknown probability distributions are dealt with in non-parametric decision making. This problem can be approached in multiple ways. The probability density function can be estimated using either the histogram or window (kernel) techniques.6 The likelihood of belonging to a certain class can therefore be determined with the help of parametric classifiers. Sorting the samples into categories without utilising probability density functions is an additional strategy. The k-nearest neighbour classification approaches are examples of such methods. Methods like this determine if a given unknown sample belongs to a certain class by comparing it to a sample from the training set that has the same list of features as it does to its nearest neighbour. After that, the unknown sample will be placed in the same category as the sample that is closest to its nearest neighbour. Adaptive decision boundaries and adaptive discriminant functions are two more non-parametric decision-making approaches. To characterise the feature set (xj XM) for a specific class, any linear or non-linear discriminant function (D) can be utilised instead of statistical distributions and probabilities for class membership determination. A class's linear function can have adaptive weights for each characteristic. The weights can thereafter be adjusted using a training set of photos in order to attain accurate categorisation. Class separation geometric boundaries in feature space can also be described by these linear functions. Adaptive decision limits describe this specific situation.

Additional methods and broad comments

In the absence of a labelled training set, unsupervised learning methods must be employed. Which implies that neither all nor even a tiny fraction of the samples are informed about their class membership. Clustering is the method that will be applied here. When a classifier is run unsupervised and "clusters" of samples are visible in feature space, we say that clustering has occurred. The classifier specifies these clusters to stand in for a specific class. The use of neural networks is another significant method for classification. Similar to how a human brain learns to categorise, neural networks are not innately built to do classifications but rather taught to do so. Therefore, they stand for a different category of supervised methods. This method was able to mimic the brain's pattern recognition and parallel processing capabilities.6 Cons: neural networks are a "black box," hiding the decision-making process, and they necessitate very big training sets. When estimating probability density functions or discrmiiriant functions is not possible due to complex feature distributions, these methods can be employed instead. Since a rapid scanning cytometer can accommodate a high number of test samples and training sets, the emphasis here is on statistical methods for decision making. It is anticipated that multiple methods can be rapidly tested. Automated testing and big training sets will make possible exhaustive approaches like histograniming and window techniques, which don't assume as much on the underlying probability distributions. Instead of using neural networks' "black box" approach, it would be preferable to have a transparent decision-making process where users can see exactly how features are combined to arrive at a choice. For instance, the computer may then explain its decision-making process to a human cytopathologist or specialist, helping the field progress steadily towards accurate automated diagnosis of complicated tissues.

Assessing and selecting classifiers

A recommended procedure for selecting a classifier 6 is as follows: First, compute feature histograms for each class and conduct a thorough analysis of the training set; Next, pick the aspects that stand out as the most important and practical; Third, make 2D or 3D scatterplots for the best single feature pairs or triplets; Fourth, use the scatterplots to examine the classifications' shapes and locations, and then find the extent to which they overlap; Step 5 - a) utilise linear or non-linear decision boundaries for classification and test set performance if there is no overlap; b) any of the classifiers described above will work reliably if there is some overlap but easily separable classes; c) if there is a lot of overlap or too many features, check the feature histograms for normal distribution and apply transforms as needed to achieve normal distributions. You can find feature statistical analysis and multivariate normal classifier implementation software solutions on the market. The effectiveness of a classifier can only be evaluated by running it on a sizable and autonomous dataset. Finding the intended classifier's true negative and true positive rates is a good way to evaluate its performance. To prevent overfitting issues, the test set should not be associated with the training set in any way. Another way to prevent the classifier from overfitting is to resist the urge to use high-order decision boundary functions for perfect class separation. Overfitting can also be avoided by training with a dataset that is five times larger than the number of variables used in the decision-making method. Unfortunately, the use of features that are both irrelevant and incorrectly assessed would render even the most ideal classifier useless. Alternatively, as mentioned in the section on estimating error boundaries in Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis, there is no use in attempting to discover a better decision-making methodology if the mathematical conditions of the classification method have been satisfied without producing "...an acceptable erro rate." Six. To get higher performance, you have to find better features. This is why picking features that are both mathematically sound and separable is crucial. Furthermore, separability is anticipated to be enhanced by employing state-of-the-art microscopy techniques to guarantee precise and reproducible observations.

Imaging Cytometry Equipment

Displayed on a Nikon Eclipse TE300 microscope equipped with phase-contrast and epifluorescence illumination is the image cytometry equipment that was utilised to get the cell images. An HWPT-BHOO, 626 nm, high-luminance Hewlett-Packard LED, was used to produce the phase-contrast light. A 100 W Hg vapour short arc lamp (OSRAM HBO 103 W/2) powered by a Photon Techology International (Monmouth Junction, NJ) lamp housing and power supply served as the fluorescence light source. Computer control was used to strobe the LED, and an Umblitz LS6 shutter (Vincent Associates, Rochester, NY) was used to shutter the fluorescence light source. This allowed for the automatic sequential performance of fluorescence and phase-contrast microscopy. An objective from Nikon, the Plan Fluor 20x 0.5 NA Phi DLL, was used to capture images of the specimens under the microscope. The Nikon DAPI rmad 96100M UV Set ifiter cube served as the epifluorescence filter. A progressive scan camera (Model 3000) from San Diego, CA, manufactured by Cohu Motion Ccntrol PCl Boards, was used to capture the image. To ensure that all image pixels were inside the 0-255 range, the gain was adjusted accordingly. After that, a 500 MHz Pentium ifi twin processor host computer equipped with a Matrox Meteor II multi-channel frame grabber (Dorval, Quebec, Canada) was used to digitise the images to 640×480 pixels2. The x- and y-axis movement of the stage was managed by a New

England Affiliated Technologies stepper motor stage (Lawrence, MA) and a nuDrive micro-stepping driver from National Instruments (NI, Austin, TX) run by an NI Value Motion PCI-Step-20X motion control board. A 350 p.m range piezoelectric objective positioner (PJFOC) and an E-S810. 10 closed-loop controller from Polytec (Costa Mesa, CA) were used to alter the focus. The host computer controlled the PIFOC locations using a 12-bit D/A converter from NT NiDAQ data acquisition card. A previously disclosed AFx-3000 autofocus circuit from Q3DMInc. (San Diego, CA) was used for autofocus. The scanning process began with autofocus in phase contrast utilising the strobed LED, and then the acquisition of fluorescence images followed. The pictures were taken with an early build of Q3DM MetaScan.

Nuclear Characteristics for Cell-by-Cell Sorting

In some circumstances, the selection of the classifier is guided by a number of characteristics that are critical for describing features: • The function of the feature set as a compression approach for efficient storage can be evaluated by looking at its completeness. Finding the minimal feature set necessary to complete the classification task is the key to optimising efficiency. Repetitive data that doesn't improve efficiency due to its lack of classification benefit should not be considered independent. The ability to simplify specific statistical decision-making approaches is another benefit of feature independence. Estimating the probability of the different feature vectors P(x1, x2,..., xn|A) is as simple as multiplying the individual probabilities P(x1|A), P(x2|A), and so on up to P(xn|A) if the features xi are independent given a class A. Therefore, being self-sufficient has numerous significant benefits. A feature's distribution, often known as its probability density function, for a specific class is another crucial attribute. A normal density distribution, which can be uni- or multivariate, is assumed by a number of classification approaches. To maintain classification accuracy when using these classifiers, it is crucial to select characteristics that either follow a normal distribution or can be changed to one. It has been previously stated that cell orientation is both nondiagnostic and random. The traits should, therefore, be invariant under RST. One independent feature that is also invariant with regard to picture magnification should be used to describe object size (area), which is diagnostically relevant. All functions should work regardless of lighting conditions. In every other scenario, normalising the intensity should be done via a calibration technique. In this regard, it is equally crucial to remove the impact of the fixation and staining procedures used to prepare the slides on the feature values. The features probably aren't prepared in a constant way, and processes for preparing slides might need some tweaking to keep fluctuations to a minimum. Last but not least, computational complexity ought to be real-time-capable in cytological applications, where specimen carriers may contain 100,000 cells or more. For instance, a lot of processing time could be needed for really complicated picture moments.

Parametric Evaluation For every category, we know the feature probability density function's C-value. Their parameters, like as the mean and variance, are typically estimated rather than known in advance. Using Bayes netts, which is more often known as probabilistic reasoning, or other methods, the most likely class is determined given a set of feature values. Class membership probabilities are computed by combining a priori probabilities, which are known about the likelihood of an outcome, with state-conditional probabilities, which are known about conditional variability, such as an image feature. With the ability to use many features, enable more than two classes as outputs, and allow actions other than a class choice (e.g., rejection or postponement of decision), this classifier type is suitable for cell categorisation. Classes used by this classifier must be mutually exclusive, and samples can only belong to a single class.





The Use of Features in a Classification Example

In order to demonstrate how features are evaluated and chosen, this section provides a basic example. Staining NIH 3T3 cells on slides with 100ng/mL 4',6-diamidino2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) produced the pictures utilised in the feature selection example. The image cytometry system that was employed to obtain the images of the cells has been extensively detailed in previous sections. Every field underwent image-based autofocus using phasecontrast illumination, and nuclear pictures were obtained using an epi-fluorescence light source that was 100 W Hg vapour short arc lamp, at a wavelength typical for DAPI. An objective with a numerical aperture of 20×0.5 NA Ph1 DLL was used to capture images of the specimens under the microscope. The process of image segmentation was carried out utilising adaptive thresholding and contrast enhancement, as previously detailed. The MATLAB software was used to extract features and classify them. A human observer provided the ground truth for categorisation by manually assigning classifications to each image of a cell. A total of 2,361 cells in the G0/1 phase, 1,103 cells in the S/G2-phase, and 174 cells in the M-phase made up the training set. Separate from the training set, the test set included 2347 cells in the G0/1 phase, 1221 cells in the S/G2-phase, and 189 cells in the M-phase. For each class, there are examples of photographs of cell nuclei presented in. As mentioned before, the feature distributions are first examined. Using a histogram, one may visually assess the distribution of a feature and determine if it is continuous or discrete. To take things more quantitatively, you can make G0-Phase S/G2-Phase M-Phase probability graphs, where a straight line represents a normal or Gaussian distribution. To measure the degree to which the feature probability plot deviates from the normal distribution, we look at the goodness-of-fit to a straight line. The bottom panel displays the probability graphs for two sample features. For the two most important features, we examined the feature scatterplots and feature distribution parameter plots to determine their separability. "Equivalent Diameter" (left), which is a size descriptor that is independent of RST, clearly differentiates the M-phase cells from the S/G2-phase cells. In contrast, "Integrated Intensity" (centre), which is a measure of DNA content, distinguishes the G0/1-phase cells from the S/G2phase cells. By looking at the coloured clouds in the scatterplot, one can see how those two qualities combined to create separability. Using "Equivalent Diameter" to separate M-phase and G0/1-phase cells is effective, but there's no doubt that other traits might make a big difference. We used the forward stepwise selection method with a linear discriminant function to choose the best collection of features. Size, form, intensity, and chromatin condensation cell metrics were among the six features used to generate the final feature set. A linear discriminant function classifier was trained using this collection of features and then tested on the test dataset to see how well it performed. The G0/1-cells had a classification accuracy of 93.1%, the S/G2-cells of 92.4%, and the M-phase cells of 98.9%. Misclassification of M-phase cells was nearly nonexistent (1%), but a small number of G0/1 and S/G2 phase cells (about 4%) were incorrectly identified as M-phase cells using the linear discriminant function.

Environmental Monitoring and Medical Illness Diagnosis

Monitoring and diagnosis of medical diseases

Diagnostic imaging with fluorescent microscopy is by far the most used application. Fluorescence microscopy allows for the rapid and easy diagnosis of infectious diseases. It is the gold standard, if not the sole option, for diagnosing many conditions. Detection and general or particular identification of microorganisms like viruses, fungi, parasites, and bacteria is possible. This method uses fluorochromes that are particular to an infectious disease pathogen to deliver a very sensitive and quick diagnosis. The fluorescent acid-fast stain and fluorescent antibodies are two examples of such techniques. Many different infectious disease agents have fluorescent antibody testing. Important diseases like leprosy and tuberculosis can be easily diagnosed with fluorescent acid-fast stains. Particulate antigens, like germs or protozoa, are not usually required for disease research. Using fluorescent antibodies, it is possible to show the presence of viruses and soluble poisons in samples of tissues. This method has shown promise in elucidating the pathophysiology of toxic symptoms caused by staphylococcal enterotoxin in animals following ingestion, particularly in identifying the specific organs and brain regions affected. The results could be the same for different types of soluble antigens. Detecting, identifying, and titrating host antibodies to infectious pathogens is another significant medical use of fluorescence microscopy. The immunofluorescence test, which uses indirect fluorescent antibodies, accomplishes this. In some disorders, the immune system mistakenly targets healthy tissues, a process known as autoantibodies. An indirect fluorescent antibody test can identify and detect these autoimmune disorders. The tissues or other cells that respond to disease-specific antibodies in a patient's blood show distinctive staining patterns.

Environmental Monitoring

Environmental microbes are always under the microscope in public health labs. The soil, air, and water are examined. While bacterial culture is the gold standard for sample analysis, fluorescence microscopy is another option. Fluorescence microscopy often yields higher microbial density results compared to culture. Reason being, sample organisms might be dead, injured, or otherwise uncultivable. Because fluorochrome dyes are cheap and analysis time is short, using fluorescence microscopy for this purpose is economical. This testing does not necessitate the use of costly bacteriological equipment or culture media. The rapidity of the results is one of the main benefits of using fluorescence microscopy to analyse samples. Results from fluorescence microscopy can be obtained in a matter of minutes or hours, as opposed to days as is the case with culture. All three types of water-potable, freshwater, and saltwater-can have microorganisms detected using fluorescence microscopy. It is the gold standard for testing treated drinking water for the protozoan Cryptosporidium. A membrane filter with pores smaller than a micron is typically used to extract samples for water analysis. It is possible to grow the microbes on the filter surface for colony counts or to study them under a microscope, particularly epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy, after they have been trapped on the surface. It is also possible to use fluorescence microscopy to examine air samples. We use epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy to look for microbes after we pass air through a filter. One method involves collecting the air sample straight from the source, while another involves employing a liquid impinger to capture the sample first. It is possible to filter the liquid sample after collection and then use epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy to examine the microbes stuck on the filter. Both indoors and out, fluorescence microscopy is used to sample air with the purpose of detecting microbiological aerosols. Parenteral fluids and diluted disinfectants are among the other liquids that can be analysed using fluorescence microscopy on filters.

CONCLUSION

When classifying cell nuclei from fluorescence microscopy images, there are a number of crucial factors to consider when selecting the appropriate feature set. In order to assist the reader in selecting the most appropriate feature vectors for their particular cell classification application, we have examined numerous potential nuclear characteristics and highlighted important mathematical qualities. To find the optimal set for cell-by-cell classification, it is recommended to test various combinations of comprehensive feature sets and intuitive feature sets with various classification methods for class separability. There has been a plethora of study on automated cytology, but there is still a lot of room to explore with feature sets and classifiers. In order to keep preparation, staining, and microscopy measurement processes constant, it may be crucial to evaluate and monitor the underlying error distribution of each characteristic. This is especially true for cells. When it comes to automated cytology, finding a decent feature set might be a challenge. However, high throughput image cytometry can help by extracting correct features.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bajaj S, Welsh JB, Leif RC, Price JH. Ultra-rare-event detection performance of a custom scanning cytometer on a model preparation of fetal nRBCs. Cytometry. 2000;39:285–294.
- 2. Kemp RA., MacAWay C., Garner D., Palcic B.: "Detection of malignancy associated changes in cervical cell nuclei using feed-forwath neural networks", Analytical Cellular Pathology 14: 31-40, 1997
- 3. Guillaud M., Doudkine A., Gamer D.: "Malignancy associated changes in cervical smeais: systematic changes in cytometiic features with the grade of dysplasia", Analytical CellularPathology 9: 191-204, 1995
- 4. Steven F.S., Death M., Sin J., Palcic B.: "Fluorescent Location of Cells of Cytological Interest in Cervical Smears PreStained with Thionin", Anticancer Research 16: 1193-1196, 1996 21.
- 5. Wilbur D.C., Prey M.U., Miller W.M., Pawlick G.F., Colgan TJ.: 'Ilie AutoPap System for Primary Screening in Cervical Cytology," Acta Cytologica 42 (1): 214-220, 1998
- 6. BibbO M., Hawthorne C.: "Performance of the AutoPap Primaiy Screening System at Jefferson University Hospital," Acta Cytologica 43 (1): 27-29, 1999
- 7. BibbO M., Hawthorne C., Zimmermann B.: Does the Use of the AutoPap Assisted Primary Screener Improve Cytologic Diagnosis?" Acta Cytologica 43 (1): 23-26, 1999
- Ploem J.S., van Driel-Kulker A.MJ., Ploem-Zaaijer JJ.: "Automated Cell Analysis for DNA Studies of Large Cell Populations Using the LEYTAS Image Cytometry System," Pathology, Research and Practice 185 (5): 671-675, 1989
- 9. Baraldi A, Parmiggiani F. An investigation of the textural characteristics associated with gray level cooccurrence matrix statistical parameters. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. 1995;33(2):293–304.
- 10. Bartels PH, Vooijs PG. Automation of primary screening for cervical cancer—sooner or later? Acta Cytologica. 1999;43(1):7–12.
- 11. Bartels PH, Thompson D, Weber JE. Diagnostic and prognostic decision support systems, Pathologic. 1995; 87(3):221–236.
- 12. Bibbo M, Hawthorne C. Performance of the AutoPap primary screening system at Jefferson University Hospital. Acta Cytologica. 1999;43(1):27–29.
- 13. Bibbo M, Hawthorne C, Zimmermann B. Does the use of the AutoPap assisted primary screener improve cytologic diagnosis? Acta Cytologica. 1999;43(1):23–26.
- 14. Birdsong GG. Automated screening of cervical cytology specimens. Human Pathology. 1996;27(5):468–481.
- Boland MV, Murphy RF. A neural network classifier capable of recognizing the patterns of all major subcellular structures in fluorescence microscope images of HeLa cells. Bioinformatics. 2001;17(12):1213– 1223.
- Bravo-Zanoguera M, Laris CA, Nguyen LK, Oliva K, Price JH. Dynamic autofocus for continuousscanning time-delay-and-integration image acquisition in automated microscopy. Journal of Biomedical Optics. 2007;12(3):034011–034027.
- 17. Chen X, Zhou X, Wong ST. Automated segmentation, classification, and tracking of cancer cell nuclei in timelapse microscopy. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 2006;53(4):762–766.
- Chen C-C, Chen C-C. Filtering methods for texture discrimination. Pattern Recognition Letters. 1999;20:783– 790.

- 19. Cortes C,Vapnik V. Support vector networks. Mach Learn. 1995;20:273–297. 13. Doudkine A, MacAulay C, Poulin N, Palcic B. Nuclear texture measurements in image cytometry. Pathologica. 1995;87(3):286–299.
- 20. Duda RO, Hart PE, Stork DG. Pattern Classification, 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2001. 15. Duda RO, Hart PE. Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1973.
- Flezar M, Doudkine A, Us-Krasovec M. The effect of primary fixation with standard postfixation and the duration of hydrolysis on nuclear features in image cytometry. Analytical Cellular Pathology. 1998;17: 131– 144