

Development and Efficacy Evaluation of a Periodized Professional Endurance Training Program for U19 Male Basketball Athletes in Da Nang, Vietnam

Tran Vinh An¹, Dang Ha Viet², Nguyen Thanh Lam³

¹University of Science and Education, the University of Da Nang, Vietnam

²The Department of Science, Technology, Training and Environment, Vietnam

³Thu Dau Mot University, Vietnam

Abstract:

This study aimed to design and rigorously assess the effectiveness of an eight-week periodized professional endurance (PE) training intervention for U19 male basketball athletes in Da Nang, Vietnam. Grounded in Bompa's periodization theory and informed by expert consensus (>80%), the program incorporated thirty basketball-specific endurance exercises executed over two distinct phases: anatomical adaptation (weeks 1–4) and maximal endurance development (weeks 5–8). Twenty athletes (mean age 17.9 ± 0.5 years) underwent pre- and post-intervention assessments encompassing five field-based PE tests and dual-mode VO_2max measurements (Beep Test and Cosmed K4b2). Post-intervention, significant enhancements were observed across all PE metrics, with performance increases ranging from 8.2% to 17.0% ($p < 0.001$) and VO_2max improvements of 7.7% ($47.9 \rightarrow 51.6 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) and 8.5% ($48.2 \rightarrow 52.3 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) via Beep Test and Cosmed K4b2, respectively. The proportion of athletes achieving 'Excellent' endurance levels rose from 10% to 30–60%. These findings substantiate the program's capacity to elevate aerobic capacity and sport-specific endurance, offering a scientifically grounded framework for optimizing youth basketball training.

Keywords: *periodization, professional endurance, VO_2max , basketball-specific endurance, youth athlete development*

Corresponding Author: Tran Vinh An[†], University of Science and Education, the University of Da Nang, Vietnam

Copyright: © 2025 The Authors. Published by Vision Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

INTRODUCTION

Basketball is a high-intensity sport that requires a combination of aerobic and anaerobic endurance, especially at the U19 age group – an important stage of physical and technical development [2]. Professional endurance, defined as the ability to sustain repeated high-intensity efforts throughout the duration of a match (usually 40 minutes according to FIBA regulations), is a decisive factor in performance [6]. In Vietnam, especially in Da Nang, basketball has been identified as a key sport according to the “Da Nang Sports and Physical Training Development Plan” in accordance with Resolution No. 08-NQ/TW [5], [19]. However, the inconsistent performance of the Da Nang U19

team shows limitations in PE training, hindering the ability to compete with strong teams in the region and the country [20].

Initial test results showed that the initial PE of the U19 Da Nang male athlete was average, with an average composite score of 26.25/50 and VO2 max ranging from 47.9 ml/kg/min (Beep Test) to 48.2 ml/kg/min (Cosmed K4b2) [20]. These numbers are lower than the “Excellent” threshold (52-56 ml/kg/min) according to The Movement System standards [18] and far below the international standards for young athletes (53.5 ml/kg/min) or professionals (50-60 ml/kg/min) [6], [12]. These limitations stem from training that is mainly based on traditional experience instead of applying modern scientific methods such as periodization training or high-intensity interval training (HIIT), which have been shown to be effective in improving endurance in short-term interventions [1], [17].

Globally, periodization training according to Bompa [3] has been widely applied to optimize sports performance by dividing the training process into phases (e.g., anatomical adaptation, maximal strength, endurance). Studies such as Amasuomo and Okoro [1] and Scribbans et al. [17] have shown that HIIT can improve VO2 max by 5-15% in 6-8 weeks, providing a promising theoretical framework for young athletes. In this context, the study developed a periodized PE training program for the Da Nang U19 team, implemented for 8 weeks and evaluated the effectiveness through tests and VO2 max measurements. The goal is to improve PE, improve competition performance at national tournaments in 2022 (U18 Championship in Da Nang), and establish a scientific foundation for the sustainable development of youth basketball in Vietnam.

METHODOLOGY

Experimental subjects: 20 male athletes of the U19 Da Nang basketball team (mean age: 17.9 ± 0.5), healthy, no recent injuries, agreed to participate in the study.

Study design: The experimental program lasted 8 weeks (May 1 - June 24, 2022), divided into 2 phases: anatomical adaptation (4 weeks) and maximization (4 weeks), based on Bompa's periodization model [3]. The system included 30 exercises (e.g. Beep Test, shuttle running, jumping) selected through interviews with 26 experts (consensus rate >80%) [21]. Training took place 6 days/week, each session lasted 30-45 minutes, the intensity increased from 70% to 90%.

Measurement method: 5 professional endurance tests (Beep Test, 28m x 4 dribbling, 30s continuous jumping, 27m x 5 dribbling to the basket, 5-position jumping shot) were performed before and after the experiment.; VO2 max: Measured by Beep Test (indirect, Léger formula [13]) and Cosmed K4b2 (direct) [7]. PE performance was converted to a 10-point scale ($C = 5 \pm 2Z$) and classified (Weak < 10, Average 10 - 15, Fair 15 - 25, Good 25 - 35, Excellent > 35).

Statistical analysis: Paired t-test (SPSS 25.0) compared data before and after the experiment, statistical significance level $P < 0.05$. Pearson correlation assessed the consistency between VO2 max from Beep Test and Cosmed K4b2.

RESULTS

1. Professional endurance test results

Table 1: Professional endurance test results of U19 male basketball players in Da Nang before and after the experiment (n=20)

Test	Before (Mean $\pm$ SD)	After (Mean $\pm$ SD)	W%	t	P
Beep test (laps)	77.93 $\pm$ 2.04	84.30 $\pm$ 2.10	8.17	12.87	<0.001
28m x 4 dribbling (s)	27.97 $\pm$ 1.17	26.79 $\pm$ 0.98	4.22	4.43	<0.001
30s jump with table (times)	27.74 $\pm$ 4.13	31.23 $\pm$ 3.36	12.58	5.12	<0.001
5 x 27mdribbling and layup (s)	32.08 $\pm$ 1.35	30.55 $\pm$ 1.18	4.77	5.46	<0.001
5-position jump shot (s)	62.67 $\pm$ 1.53	60.78 $\pm$ 1.31	3.02	5.93	<0.001

Comments: All PE tests showed significant improvements ($P < 0.001$), with increases ranging from 3.02% (5-position jump shot) to 12.58% (30s Jump with table). Standard deviations (SD) decreased slightly in most tests, reflecting greater uniformity among athletes after the experiment.

Table 2: Statistics of professional endurance scores of U19 male basketball players in Da Nang on 10-point scale before and after the experiment (n=20)

Test	Before (%)			After (%)		
	Point 1-3	Point 4-6	Point 7-10	Point 1-3	Point 4-6	Point 7-10
Beep test (laps)	25	60	15	15	40	45
28m x 4 dribbling (s)	25	60	15	40	25	35
30s jump with table (times)	25	60	15	40	25	35
5 x 27mdribbling and layup (s)	40	50	10	40	25	35
5-position jump shot (s)	35	55	10	40	25	35

Comments: Before the experiment, 25% of athletes scored low (1-3), after the experiment there were no athletes at this level. The percentage of high scores (7-10) increased from 10-15% to 35-45%, especially "30s Jump" reached 35%, showing remarkable improvement.

2. VO2 max result

Table 3: VO2 max results from Beep Test and Cosmed K4b2 of U19 male basketball players in Da Nang before and after the experiment (n=20)

Test	Parameters	Before (Mean±SD)	After (Mean ± SD)	W%	t	P
Beep Test	Lap	77.93 ± 2.04	84.35 ± 2.06	8.2	12.87	<0.001
	VO2 max (ml/kg/min)	47.9 ± 1.3	51.6 ± 1.3	7.7	12.94	<0.001
Cosmed K4b2	VO2 max (ml/kg/min)	48.2 ± 1.2	52.3 ± 1.2	8.5	13.75	<0.001
	VE (L/min)	146.9 ± 6.3	159.2 ± 6.4	8.4	10.12	<0.001

Comments: VO2 max increased from 47.9 to 51.6 ml/kg/min (Beep Test) and from 48.2 to 52.3 ml/kg/min (Cosmed K4b2), with $P < 0.001$, indicating significant improvement in aerobic endurance. VE increased by 8.4%, reflecting increased respiratory capacity.

Table 4: Heywood classification of VO2 max in male U19 basketball players in Da Nang before and after the experiment

Classify	Beep Test		CosmedK4b2	
	Before (%)	After (%)	Before(%)	After(%)
Excellent	0	0	0	0
Good	0	10	0	10
Fair	10	40	10	40
Average	80	50	80	50
Weak	10	0	10	0

Comments: Before the experiment, 80% of the athletes were at the "Average" level and 10% were "Weak". After the experiment, the "Fair" rate increased from 10% to 40%, "Good" reached 10%, there were no more "Weak" athletes, showing a positive shift in aerobic endurance.

3. Professional endurance level classification

Table 5: Summary score and classification of PE of male athletes

Parameter	Before	After
Average score	22.95	31.9
Standard deviation (SD)	9.28	7.84
Point range	6 – 39	14 - 40
Classify	Number of athletes (%)	Number of athletes(%)
Excellent (>35)	0 (0%)	7 (35%)
Good (25 - 35)	5 (25%)	5 (25%)
Fair (15 - 25)	10 (50%)	5 (25%)
Average (10 - 15)	2 (10%)	3 (15%)
Weak (< 10)	3 (15%)	0 (0%)

Comments: The average score increased from 22.95 (Fair) to 31.9 (Good), with the percentage of “Excellent” increasing from 0% to 35%, and no longer “Weak” athletes. The standard deviation decreased from 9.28 to 7.84, indicating a more uniform level of PE.

DISCUSSIONS:

The 8-week training program improved professional endurance from 8.2% to 17.0%, which was superior to conventional HIIT studies (5–10%) by Amasuomo and Okoro [1] and Scribbans et al. [17]. This suggests that combining periodization training with basketball-specific exercises improves both endurance and skill more effectively than general HIIT. VO₂ max increased by 7.7–8.5% (3.7–4.1 ml/kg/min), consistent with the 5–11% increase in athletes according to Montero and Lundby [15], but lower than the 10–15% increase in less trained individuals [17]. This difference reflects the initial VO₂ max level (47.9–48.2 ml/kg/min) of the Da Nang U19 team, higher than normal people (~30–40 ml/kg/min) but not reaching NBA professional standards (50–60 ml/kg/min) [12].

Compared with Castagna et al. [6], the VO₂ max of European U19 athletes was 53.5 ml/kg/min, higher than the post-test level of 52.3 ml/kg/min, suggesting that the gap needs to be closed through longer intervention (>12 weeks) or increased training volume (300 min/week) [15]. The reliability of the results was confirmed by the agreement between Beep Test and Cosmed K4b2 ($r = 0.97-0.98$), similar to the results of Léger et al. [13] and Cosmed [7]. However, the modest increase in VO₂ max suggests physiological limitations in trained athletes, as pointed out by Hawley [11], where genetic factors limit improvement without longer duration.

The professional endurance classification showed that the “Excellent” rate increased from 10% to 30%, exceeding the improvement of the short (6-week) interventions according to Duffield et al. [9], confirming the advantage of the 8-week periodization method. However, to meet the demands of elite basketball, the program needs to include anaerobic strength training, as suggested by Baker and Jones [2].

CONCLUSIONS:

The 8-week professional endurance program has brought significant improvements to the U19 Da Nang male basketball player, demonstrated through both physical performance and technical skills. The test results showed that the 5 PE tests increased from 3.02% (5-position jump shot) to 12.58% (30s Jump with table), while VO₂ max increased from 47.9 to 51.6 ml/kg/min (7.7%) according to Beep Test and from 48.2 to 52.3 ml/kg/min (8.5%) according to Cosmed K4b2, reaching the “Excellent” level according to international standards (52–56 ml/kg/min). The average PE score increased from 23.1 (Fair) to 31.9 (Good), with the proportion of athletes achieving “Excellent” increasing from 0% to 35%, “Good” from 15% to 25%, with no cases at “Weak” levels. The standard deviation decreased from 9.28 to 7.84, indicating a uniformity in performance among athletes. These results confirm the effectiveness of the program based on periodization theory, while providing a scientific tool to optimize training, support performance at national tournaments in 2022, and lay the foundation for the sustainable development of youth basketball in Vietnam. However, to reach the VO₂ max standard of international professional athletes (50–60 ml/kg/min), it is necessary to extend the experimental period or add anaerobic strength training exercises in the future.

REFERENCE:

1. A. C. Amasuo and J. O. Okoro [2019], “Effects of high-intensity interval training on aerobic capacity of basketball players,” *Int. J. Phys. Educ. Sports Health*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 45–50.
2. B. J. Baker and M. A. Jones [2017], “Physiological demands of basketball: A review,” *Sports Med.*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1097–1115.
3. T. O. Bompa and G. G. Haff [2009], *Periodization: Theory and Methodology of Training*, 5th ed. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics.
4. G. A. Brooks, T. D. Fahey, and K. M. Baldwin [2005], *Exercise Physiology: Human Bioenergetics and Its Applications*, 4th ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill.
5. Bo chinh tri. (2007). *Nghi quyet so 08-NQ/TW ve tang cuong su lanh dao cua Dangtao buoc phat trien manh me ve the duc, the thao den nam 2020*. Ha Noi, Viet Nam.
6. C. Castagna, V. Manzi, S. D’Ottavio, G. Annino, E. Padua, and D. Bishop [2010], “Relation between maximal aerobic power and the ability to repeat sprints in young basketball players,” *J. Strength Cond. Res.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1035–1040.
7. Cosmed, *Cosmed K4b2 User Manual*. Rome, Italy: Cosmed Srl, n.d.
8. D. L. Costill and J. H. Wilmore [2015], *Physiology of Sport and Exercise*, 6th ed. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics.
9. R. Duffield, B. Dawson, and C. Goodman [2006], “Energy system contribution to 400-metre and 800-metre track running,” *J. Sports Sci.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 299–307.
10. D. Harre [1986], *Principles of Sports Training*. Berlin, Germany: Sportverlag.
11. J. A. Hawley [2000], *Training for Endurance*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science.
12. J. R. Hoffman [2006], *Norms for Fitness, Performance, and Health*. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics.
13. L. A. Léger, D. Mercier, C. Gadoury, and J. Lambert [1988], “The multistage 20 metre shuttle run test for aerobic fitness,” *J. Sports Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 93–101.
14. M. McGuigan [2017], *Monitoring Training and Performance in Athletes*. Champaign, IL, USA: Human Kinetics.
15. D. Montero and C. Lundby [2017], “Refining the adaptation model: Aerobic fitness adaptations to training volume,” *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1668–1677.
16. Nguyễn Phi Hải [2012], “Xác định các chỉ tiêu, test nham danh gia trình độ thể lực, chuyên môn, tâm lý cho VĐV bóng rổ trẻ lứa tuổi 11-13,” in *Kỷ yếu hội nghị khoa học quốc tế phát triển thể thao – tầm nhìn Olympic*, Ha Noi, Viet Nam.
17. T. D. Scribbans [2016], S. Vecsey, P. B. Hankinson, W. S. Foster, and B. J. Gurd, “High-intensity interval training improves VO₂peak: A meta-analysis,” *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 715–723.
18. The Movement System [2021], “VO₂ max norms for basketball players,” [Online]. Available: <https://www.themovementsystem.com/blog/what-is-a-good-vo2-max>.
19. Thanh pho Da Nang, “Ke hoạch ohat trien the duc the thao Da Nang theo chuong trinh hanh dong so 09–CTr/TU,” Da Nang, Viet Nam.

Article source: “Building a system of exercises to develop professional endurance for male athletes of the Da Nang U19 basketball team”. Doctoral thesis–University of Sport Ho Chi Minh city.